THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ Petition (M/S) No. 1395 of 2006

Jagdish Prasad Chauhan, aged about 60 years, S/O Chandrapal Singh, R/O Suman Palace, C-103 Shriram Nagar Colony, Jwalapur, Pargana Jwalapur, Tehsil & District Haridwar.

… **Petitioner.**

Versus

1. Jila Up Sanchalak, Chakbandi/Collector, Haridwar.
2. Smt. Kamla Devi, W/O Sri Chandrabhan, R/O Village Roshnabad, Tehsil & District Haridwar.
3. Chandrabhan, S/O Parmanand, R/O 1Village Roshnabad, Tehsil and District Haridwar.
4. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary, Revenue.

… **Respondents.**

Sri Tapan Singh, Adv., learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Nand Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-State.

Dated September 19, 2006.

Hon'ble B.S.Verma, J.

Heard learned counsel and perused the material on record.

The only prayer made in the present writ petition is that the respondent no.1 be directed to decide the Revision No. 502 of 2005 expeditiously.

It is alleged that the petitioner is a senior citizen and his name was mutated in respect of the disputed property under the orders of the court on 23-11-1996. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent no2 filed an objection before the Consolidation Officer claiming rights over the property in disputed on the basis of the will said to have been executed by her father-in-law and she succeeded in getting the mutation order dated 23.11.1996 recalled by the Settlement Officer vide his order dated 7-6-2005. Being aggrieved, the petitioner has preferred a Revision

No. 502 of 2005 Jagdish Prasad Chauhan V. Kamla Devi and others before the Jila Up Sanchalak Chakbandi/Collector, Haridwar, which may be decided by the respondent no.1 expeditiously.

In the facts and circumstances considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is a senior citizen, it will be in the fitness of things to direct the respondent no.1 to decide the aforesaid Revision expeditiously.

Accordingly, the respondent no.1 is directed to decide the Revision No. 502 of 2005, Jagdish Prasad Chauhan Vs. Kamla Devi and others, expeditiously as far as possible. Both the parties shall co-operate with the court concerned in the expeditious decision of the case.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(B.S.Verma, J.)

19-09-2006.
RCP